



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,321	10/27/2003	Piotr Gryciuk	142.01	6047

30480 7590 07/18/2006

EDWARD S. SHERMAN, ESQ.
3554 ROUND BARN BLVD.
SUITE 303
SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

EXAMINER

MEISLIN, DEBRA S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3723

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,321	GRYCIUK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D S. Meislin	3723	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) D S. Meislin. (3)_____.

(2) E. Sherman. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 16, and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Taylor, Dobazenecki and Gealy.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


D.S. MEISLIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sherman suggested combining claims 1-4. The examiner indicated that such a combination would most likely result in a rejection under the previously applied references. Mr. Sherman indicated that there was no suggestion to combine Gealy with Taylor. The examiner disagreed and pointed out the suggestion as set forth in the office action and in the reference itself. Mr. Sherman suggested filing a DIV on either the kit claims or the method claim and asked the examiner's opinion as to which direction to take. The examiner suggested that the method claim may be best for a DIV application. It is noted that no agreement was reached as to any patentable subject matter.